

REMARKS

This communication is in response to the Office Action dated June 24, 2008 in which claims 1-32 were pending. Claims 1-17 have been allowed. Claims 18-21, 24-29, 31, and 32 were rejected and Claims 22, 23, and 30 were objected to.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-17 pending, original.

Claims 18-21 pending, added.

Claim 22 canceled.

Claims 23-32 pending, added.

Support for the Added Claims

Claim 18 is supported by the specification at col. 3, line 26-31; col. 4 lines 46-55; col. 5 lines 5-19; col. 8, lines 32-36; col. 17, lines 20-61; col. 31, lines 41-57; col. 5, lines 25-31; col. 31, line 65; col. 32, line 3; and original claims 1 and 4.

Claim 19 is supported by col. 29 line 21; col. 31, line 20 and original claim 1.

Claim 20 is supported by col. 5, lines 47-55; col. 32, lines 22-32 and original claim 2.

Claim 21 is supported by col. 5, lines 19-25; col. 31, lines 58-64; and original claim 3.

Claim 23 is supported by col. 5, lines 31-46; col. 32, lines 4-13; and original claim 5.

Claim 24 is supported by col. 5, line 48; col. 6, line 4; col. 32, lines 31-50; col. 5, lines 25-31; col. 31, line 65; col. 32, line 3; and original claims 4 and 9.

Claim 25 is supported by col. 5, lines 25-30; col. 6, lines 4-9; col. 32, lines 50-56; and original claim 10.

Claim 26 is supported by col. 6, lines 11-23; col. 32, line 57; col. 33, line 5; col. 5, lines 25-31; col. 31, line 65; col. 32, line 3; and original claims 4 and 11.

Claim 27 is supported by col. 32, line 57 to col. 33, line 5.

Claim 28 is supported by col. 6, lines 41-43, col. 33, lines 1-29; and original claim 13.

Claim 29 is supported by col. 6, lines 23-36; col. 31, lines 1-39; col. 33, lines 6-13; and original claim 14.

Claim 30 is supported by col. 6, lines 23-36; col. 31, lines 1-39; col. 33, lines 14-23; and original claim 15.

Claim 31 is supported by col. 6, lines 48-62; col. 31, lines 40-58; col. 5, lines 25-31; col. 31, line 65; col. 32, line 3; and original claims 4 and 16.

Claim 32 is supported by col. 6, lines 62-65; col. 31, lines 40-58; and original claim 17.

#### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 18-21, 24-29, 31, and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Rostoker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,668,809 – hereinafter “Rostoker”).

Claims 18, 24, 26, and 31 have been amended to include limitations similar to those of previous Claim 22, which was indicated to be allowable if written in independent form. For example, Claim 18 includes the element “an integrity apparatus configured to check a data-integrity of the first packet based on the first data protection code, remove the data protection code from the first packet, and store the first packet to a second memory without storing the first data protection code in the second memory”, which is not taught or suggested by Rostoker. Claims 24, 26, and 31, as amended, also include similar elements that Rostoker does not teach or suggest.

For at least these reasons, Applicant submits that Claims 18, 24, 26, and 31 are in condition for allowance. In addition, Claims 19-21, 23, 25, 27-30, and 32 are allowable as dependent claims depending from one of Claims 18, 24, 26, or 31.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the notice of allowable subject matter of Claims 1-17, 22, 23 and 30.

The Director is authorized to charge any fee deficiency required by this paper or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 23-1123.

WESTMAN, CHAMPLIN & KELLY, P.A.

KAC:lah